

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

Strike 3 Holdings, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 25-10637

v.

Judith E. Levy

United States District Judge

John Doe subscriber assigned IP
Address 97.85.0.138,

Mag. Judge Anthony P. Patti

Defendant.

_____/

**ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO SERVE A THIRD-PARTY
SUBPOENA PRIOR TO A RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE [4]**

Plaintiff Strike 3 Holdings, LLC filed this copyright infringement action against Defendant John Doe, an internet subscriber assigned IP address 97.85.0.138. (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff alleges that Defendant “has been recorded infringing 60” of Plaintiff’s copyrighted works on the BitTorrent network in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 106. (*Id.* at PageID.2, 8–11; ECF Nos. 1–2.) Plaintiff further alleges that it does not know Defendant’s identity beyond the IP address, which Plaintiff discovered through IP address geolocation technology. (ECF No. 1, PageID.3.)

Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for leave to serve a subpoena on third-party Spectrum, Defendant's internet service provider. (ECF No. 4, PageID.37–38.) Plaintiff argues that it should be permitted to issue a Rule 45 subpoena prior to a Rule 26(f) conference because it cannot determine Defendant's identity and proceed with this copyright infringement lawsuit absent such a subpoena. (*Id.*) *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), 45.

Rule 26 authorizes parties to engage in discovery only after the parties have met and conferred, but a district court may, in its discretion, order discovery prior to the 26(f) conference. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d)(1). It is not uncommon for discovery, such as the subpoena requested here, to be authorized prior to the 26(f) conference in copyright infringement cases. *See Arista Records, LLC v. Doe*, 604 F.3d 110, 119 (2d Cir. 2010); *Strike 3 Holdings, LLC v. Doe*, No. 2:19-cv-11299, 2019 WL 2265171, at *1 (E.D. Mich. May 28, 2019). In such cases, the party alleging copyright infringement must demonstrate that it has “good cause” for early discovery. *Third Degree Films, Inc. v. Does 1-72*, No. 12-cv-14106, 2012 WL 12931709, at *1 (E.D. Mich. Nov. 13, 2012) (citing *Arista Records, LLC*, 604 F.3d at 119). A plaintiff demonstrates good cause where “(1) [it]

makes a prima facie showing of a copyright infringement claim; (2) [it] submits a specific discovery request; (3) the information sought is limited in scope and not available through alternative means; (4) there is a central need for the subpoenaed information; and (5) there is a minimal expectation of privacy on the part of the defendant.” *Malibu Media, LLC v. Doe*, No. 18-cv-10667, 2018 WL 1122012, at *1 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 1, 2018) (citing *Arista Records, LLC*, 604 F.3d at 119).

Here, Plaintiff has met each of the five elements. It has made out a prima facie case of copyright infringement by showing it owns a valid copyright and that Defendant “copied constituent elements of the work that are original.” *ECIMOS, LLC v. Carrier Corp.*, 971 F.3d 616, 628 (6th Cir. 2020) (quoting *Feist Publ’ns, Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co.*, 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991)). (See also ECF No. 1, PageID.11; ECF Nos. 1–2; ECF No. 4, PageID.43.) Plaintiff’s discovery request is specific and limited in scope, as it wishes to issue a subpoena to Spectrum, for the specific and limited purpose of determining the subscriber to whom the infringing IP address was issued. (See ECF No. 4, PageID.37–38, 44–45.) The information sought regarding Defendant’s identity is necessary for the case to proceed and for Plaintiff to prosecute its claim. (See *id.* at

PageID.44.) Finally, though Defendant has an interest in privacy and anonymity in his or her actions online, such an interest is not a “license for copyright infringement.” *Malibu Media LLC v. Doe*, No. 13-12178, 2013 WL 3945978, at *4 (E.D. Mich. July 31, 2013) (quoting *Arista Records, LLC*, 604 F.3d at 118) (*See also* ECF No. 4, PageID.47–48.)

Accordingly, Plaintiff’s motion is GRANTED subject to the following terms:

1. Plaintiff may issue a subpoena to Spectrum but may only use the information obtained for the limited purpose of enforcing its rights under 17 U.S.C. § 106.
2. The subpoena may only seek the full name and address of the subscriber with the IP address 97.85.0.138.
3. Plaintiff shall attach a copy of this order to the subpoena, and the subpoena shall instruct Spectrum to notify Defendant and provide a copy of the subpoena and this order to Defendant within seven days of service of the subpoena.
4. Defendant shall have thirty days from the date of notice to file any appropriate motion with the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 2, 2025
Ann Arbor, Michigan

s/Judith E. Levy
JUDITH E. LEVY
United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record and any unrepresented parties via the Court's ECF System to their respective email or first-class U.S. mail addresses disclosed on the Notice of Electronic Filing on April 2, 2025.

s/William Barkholz
WILLIAM BARKHOLZ
Case Manager